RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 2 3 2007



## **FACSIMILE**

Date:

4/23/2007

To:

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT

From:

Tamara Daw

Subject:

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance for App. Ser. No.

09/476,334; Our File - 012.P11004C

Attached for filing for App. Ser. No. 09/476,334 for a DATA COPYRIGHT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM is the following document:

1. Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance (2 pages). Tamara Daw

Legal Assistant

Berkeley Law & Technology Group LLP

"Turning Innovations Into PatentsSM" 1700 NW 167th Place, Suite 240 Beaverton, OR 97006 tdaw@bltg-ip.com 503.439.6500 Phone 503.439.6558 Fax

## APR 2 3 2007

Attomey Docket: 012.P11004C

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re the Application of:			
		)	Art Unit: 3621
	Makoto Saito	)	
		)	Examiner: Kambiz Abdi
Application No.: 09/476,334 )		•	
**	• • •	)	I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited
Filed: January 3, 2000		)	via facsimile with the Commissioner for Patents on:
	• •	)	April 23, 2007
Confirmation No.: 7676		Date of Transmission	
	•	)	
For:	DATA COPYRIGHT	)	Tamara Daw
	MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	)	Name of Person Transmitting Correspondence
		⊘ دُ	Lymon MW 4123/2007
		_	Signature Date

## COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop Amendment COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

The Examiner's statements of reasons for allowance are hereby acknowledged by
Assignee. Assignee agrees that the claimed subject matter is patentably distinct from the
documents cited by the Examiner; however, Assignee takes no position regarding the reasons for
allowance presented by the Examiner, other than the positions Assignee may have previously
taken during prosecution of the above-referenced patent application. Therefore, the Examiner's
reasons for allowance should not be attributed to Assignee as an indication of the basis for
Assignees' belief that the claims are patentably distinct. Furthermore, it is respectfully asserted
that there may also be additional reasons for patentability of the claimed subject matter not

Attorney Docket: 012.P11004C

explicitly stated in this record. While in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.104(e), a failure by the Assignee to disagree with the Examiner, or file more detailed comments, does not give rise to any implication that the Assignee agrees with or acquiesces in the reasoning of the Examiner, here, by this document, Assignee is expressly making clear that no such agreement or acquiescence is present.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: <u>April 23, 2007</u>

/James J. Lynch Reg. No. 50,153/ James J. Lynch Reg. No. 50,153

Berkeley Law and Technology Group, LLP 1700 NW 167th Place, Suite 240 Beaverton, OR 97006 (503) 439-6500